## FEB 2 8 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Robert Chau et al.

Serial No.: 10/618,226

Filed:

July 11, 2003

For:

A METHOD FOR MAKING A SEMICONDUCTOR

DEVICE HAVING A HIGH-K GATE DIELECTRIC

Which is a Continuation of Application:

Serial No.: 10/082,530

Filed:

February 22, 2002

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Examiner:

J. Chen

Art Unit:

2813

Attorney Docket No: P12759C

Examiner: C. Lee

Art Unit:

2825

## **AMENDMENT AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116**

Dear Sir:

In response to the office action of December 1, 2004, applicants respectfully request the examiner to enter the following amendment, which presents rejected claim 21 in better form for consideration on appeal. In addition, applicants request consideration of the accompanying remarks, which explain why this amended claim is patentable over the references cited. Given that explanation, applicants respectfully request the examiner to reconsider the rejection of claim 21 and its dependent claim 38, and to allow these claims to issue.